

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patient and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ited 1450 Alcounting, United 22(3):1-450 vnvv.aughol.gay.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/001,346	10/31/2001	James J. Bernas	SP01-015	3367
22928	7590 12:01/2003		EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED  SP-TI-3-1			FIORILLA, CRE	USTOPHER A
CORNING, 1	NY 14831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1731	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/001,346	BERNAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
4 SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R I HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replyon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTT- statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  Is from the mailing date of this communication.  HONDE 0 35 U.S.C. § 1330.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)⊠ Claim(s) 29-32 is/are allowed. 5)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
ી ક) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Pric vity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docun  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docun  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language (Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domination of the foreign language (Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domination of the first sentence)	ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not re- nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § e first sentence of the specification e provisional application has been estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. n received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Atta thiment(s)  1) [2] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tipterview Sum	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,346

Art Unit: 1731

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains language which can be implied (i.e. "The invention provides..."). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite in that the preamble and the body of the claim are not consistent. The preamble recites making a "lithography stage" while the body of the claim (last two lines) recites a "lithography stage structure".

In claim 11, "TiO2" should be changed to \*\* TiO2 \*\*.

In claim 13, "H2O" should be changed to \*\* H2O \*\*.

In claim 21, the phrase "doped I glass powder" has no antecedent basis.

Claim 25 is indefinite in that the preamble and the body of the claim are not consistent. The preamble recites making a "lithography stage" while the body of the claim (last two lines) recites a "lithography stage structure".

4. Claims 1 and 25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,346
Art Unit: 1731

5. Claims 2-24 and 26-28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- Claims 29-32 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a process of manufacturing a lithography stage or an EUV lithography structure by bonding glass particles. The references cited of interest teach methods for producing three-dimensional objects by forming layers of powder and binding the powder in the layers with binder. The references however, do not teach the production of the abovementioned articles nor do they specifically disclose the use of glass particles.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is (703) 308-0674. Note that the examiner's phone number will be changed to (571) 272-1187 on or around December 18, 2003. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

Ca Full